# VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD LAKE MICHIGAN SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT

9915 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI November 2, 2009 6:30 p.m.

A Regular Meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, November 2, 2009. Meeting called to order at 6:30 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Monica Yuhas, Steve Kumorkiewicz, Clyde Allen and Mike Serpe. Also present were Michael Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Kathy Goessl, Finance Director/Treasurer; Tom Shircel, Assistant Village Planner; and Jane Romanowski, Village Clerk. Three citizens attended the meeting.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. MINUTES OF MEETING OCTOBER 19, 2009

YUHAS MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 19, 2009 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

#### 5. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jane Romanowski:

There are no sign ups tonight, Mr. President.

John Steinbrink:

Anybody wishing to speak under citizens' comments?

# 6. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Mike Pollocoff:

I have nothing tonight. We have the budget so I'll defer to that.

#### Mike Serpe:

John, before the next item I would ask that we bring Item F, that's Resolution 09-36, in support of Presidential appointment for Larry Zarletti as U.S. Marshall. I would ask that we bring that forward. Larry has got a meeting to attend at seven o'clock and I told him we'd try to get him out of there.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve.

#### 7. NEW BUSINESS

F. Consider Resolution #09-36 in support of Presidential appointment of Larry Zarletti as U.S. Marshal.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, we have Resolution 09-36. Resolution of the Village Board support for the Presidential appointment of Larry Zarletti as U.S. Marshal. Do you want me to read the resolution?

John Steinbrink:

Sure.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

Okay. Whereas, the President of the United States appoints to the Office of the U.S. Marshal and President Barack Obama receives recommendations for qualified individuals to fill this position; and

Whereas, former Kenosha County Sheriff Larry Zarletti applied for consideration for the position of U.S. Marshal for the Eastern District of Wisconsin and has been selected as one of two finalists for the position from a field of 20 applicants; and

Whereas, Senator Feingold and Senator Kohl have submitted Mr. Zarletti's name to President Obama for appointment; and

Whereas, former Sheriff Larry Zarletti has been determined by the Senators' Office to possess the character, skills, necessary experience and ability to successfully fulfill all of the duties and responsibilities of U.S. Marshal; and

Whereas, Larry Zarletti provided 30 years of service to Kenosha County with distinction and honor, including three terms as elected Sheriff, experience as a jailer, a deputy sheriff on road patrol and served as the lieutenant of the detective division, and meets all the other requirements for office of U.S. Marshal.

Now, therefore be it resolved, that the Village Board of Trustees of the Village of Pleasant Prairie hereby petitions President Barack Obama to appoint Mr. Larry Zarletti, a man with integrity and dedication to longstanding public service in the Kenosha County community to the office of U.S. Marshal for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

#### Mike Serpe:

I would make a motion to approve Resolution 09-36 and I want to make a comment as well.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Mike?

# Mike Serpe:

We all know Larry. Larry serves on the Plan Commission with the Village of Pleasant Prairie. I had the fortunate experience to be able to work side-by-side with Larry. Even though he was on the Sheriff's Department and I was on the Police Department we worked at the same building. I was in the detective bureau, Larry was in the detective bureau. I also worked closely with Larry while he was Sheriff before I retired. I can tell you that when Larry is given an assignment or hands out an assignment to be done, it's either going to be done to perfection if he does it or he's going to expect perfection by the person he hands that to. That's the kind of guy Larry is, and that's the kind of person that this position needs as a U.S. Marshal. Larry is a finished product. He will not put his name on it unless it's right. And that's something that's somewhat rare today. We know a lot of people that do fit this. Larry is one of them. So, Larry, I wish you good luck on the appointment. Certainly we're pulling for you. And if you have something to say I think now would be your time to come forward.

#### John Steinbrink:

You still have your gun, right, Larry? You're set.

#### Larry Zarletti:

Larry Zarletti, 7815 46<sup>th</sup> Avenue. It is an honor to be recommended to the President for that appointment. And I appreciate the fact that this Village Board is willing to consider this resolution. I think that some people might say a resolution like this where is it really going to go? Is it just a feel good thing or is it really something that's going to make a difference. And I believe that it is time for Kenosha to have a federal appointment. In the research that we've done, we have found for certain there has never been a United States Marshal appointed from Kenosha, and we don't know of any other federal appointments that any sitting President has made. So, as I said, it's an honor to be in the final two. I would love to serve in that position and live up to all

the great things that Trustee Serpe said about me. If I'm in that position it will certainly be something that I'll be proud of and that all of you could be proud of. So I would appreciate your support in that resolution.

#### John Steinbrink:

Thank you. We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion or comment?

# SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-36 IN SUPPORT OF PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT OF LARRY ZARLETTI AS U.S. MARSHAL; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

#### John Steinbrink:

Congratulations. One last hurdle, Larry, one last hurdle.

#### A. Presentation of the Proposed 2010 General Fund, Capital and Debt budget.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, tonight we're going to present my recommended budget for the general fund, capital and debt service funds for the Village of Pleasant Prairie. We discussed this at our working session last week. Kathy has done some finishing points on it from that time, so I'd like to have her present the budget and the PowerPoint presentation.

#### Kathy Goessl:

Mr. President, I'm here tonight to present the general fund budgets, operating, debt and capital improvement plan and to establish the property tax levy for the Village. I'll start out with the operating section of the general government budget. And I'll start out with reviewing during the budget process the managers are asked to submit recommended new programs, also program reductions and revenue enhancements. I'll go first through the new program recommendations and start with the ones that have been recommended to be brought forward to be put into the budget.

This slide shows four of the new program that are being recommended. The first one is from the Fire and Rescue Department. It's physicals for hazardous materials technicians for \$8,430. This is a requirement for these technicians to have physicals done, so we recommend this to be included in the budget.

The next one is from the Public Works Department. It's a public works intern to help the Public Works Department in terms of projects in the summertime, road tech project, clean water, whatever the public works director would like to have done on an intern-type basis.

IT is the third new program request. It's for a temporary GIS technician for the City Works software implementation. We're looking at—we currently have a work order system through

Hansen. That software has become too expensive to add additional licenses to, and so the IT Department researched additional software vendors and found a company called City Works, and it's based and laid on top of a GIS mapping layer. And we need to have a technician put the mapping layers together with the software for the implementation for next year. And this is a portion of this technician's salary. It's about 30 and some percent, \$22,176. The rest of the new program requests will be funded by the enterprise funds that are benefitting from the work order system as well as the GIS layer that is put out with the system.

The last and final new program request that we're recommending is from the Parks Department. It's Emerald Ash bore spraying for \$50,000. There was an ash bore that was caught in a trap on Highway 31, and this would spray the Village's trees in the right of ways, and we would also offer this service at a discounted group rate to residents if they would like to have their ash trees sprayed for ash bore.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

To be injected, not sprayed.

#### Kathy Goessl:

So that's \$50,000. So these are the four new programs that we have.

This slide here shows the programs that the departments have requested but we are not recommending, Mike and I are not recommending to be put into the budget. The first one is for the Administration Department. It's assistant to the Village Administrator starting at mid year; fire and rescue, repairing overhead door aprons at the fire stations; IT a part-time utility database analyst; Municipal Court, a raise for the Municipal Judge; Municipal Courts a new full-time court clerk; parks, reclass of parks foremen from hourly to salaried; and public safety communications adding two dispatchers. These programs are not being recommended in this budget process.

Now we switch to program reductions that were recommended and given and we're taking or recommending to be taking during this budget process. The first four are from the Community Development Department. They're eliminating certain line items in their budgets. The first one is engineering services and the second one is land use consultants. The engineering services, the services that they need at this time could be provided by our Engineering Department, internal Engineering Department. And then the land use consultant at this time there's not much development and stuff going on in the Village to require a use or expenses being spent on a land use consultant. And then in Community Development, the second two, those are reducing the Plan Commission meetings down to one per month, and also reducing the number of Board of Appeals meetings.

The next one is from the Finance Department, elimination of the part-time finance clerk for \$12,000. This will eliminate that position and reclassify that person into the Municipal Court area instead. Fire and Rescue, eliminate paramedic student tuition in advance program. Currently when a person goes for paramedic training they are paid up front once they get the training done, and then if they don't stay for the three years they have to pay us back. Well, this

program is to switch that so that when they initially complete the training they get a bonus, but then they don't get paid back for the actual paramedics training class until at the end of the three year period. So this is a savings for next year. We're still paying out the same amount over a three year period, but not as much up front as we had in the past. And then various personnel changes for \$152,000. This affects the Finance Department, Municipal Court, CD and Inspection.

And these are the programs that were recommended by the departments but are not being recommended by the Village Administrator. They are, first of all, fire and rescue, eliminating a part-tie secretary position; in IT the network intern, that position is not being recommended to be reduced or removed but currently it's a vacant position. There are a number of positions throughout the Village that are vacant right now. We have one in police and one in fire and four part-time positions in IT that are vacant. As part of this budget process we're asking these positions to be held open and not filled and help to close the budget gap, and that's one of the positions there. So this position is not being eliminated but it's being put on hold and not filled for the 2010 budget process.

Another position IT has reduced is equipment maintenance on the Cisco equipment. We're not recommending that either. We're not eliminating a police officer, even though a police officer position is vacant right now and will be held open for next year. Eliminate a dispatcher not recommended and there are no vacancies currently there. Parks, reducing landscaping. That's actually eliminating landscaping and we're not recommending that one. And then also the two at the end are Public Works, eliminating snowplow overtime on subdivision roads and then reducing salt and magchloride use. We're not recommending either of those two either.

We have a new category this year called revenue enhancements. In the past these revenue enhancements were sometimes included in new programs and sometimes included in program reductions. We, therefore, created a category now for these revenue enhancers so they're all in one area. It's not as much here in general government, but as you get into the Rec Center and that type of thing this category will be a longer list and all centralized in this category instead of split between program reductions and new programs.

There are two revenue enhancements in general government that we're recommending. And the one from the Engineering Department is to charge out vehicles to projects or to developments. In the past we've always charged out the actual engineering time and administrative overhead but have never had the component of a vehicle charge out for that engineer to get to a site to review the projects. So we're looking at a charge out of \$2,000 for next year being recommended as an enhancement. And then in Inspection there's some permit and administrative fee adjustments that are being recommended for a total of \$2,725. So those are the only two revenue enhancements that were recommended and we took both those revenue enhancements.

These are the operating revenues, our top four operating revenues for the general government. There's intergovernmental. Intergovernmental is the shared revenue from the State which includes the power plant revenue that we get, and this category is up \$91,000, and this is mainly due to road aids. They take an average of the last three year's of expenditure, and a low year fell off and a higher year expenditures was added, therefore increasing our road aids for 2010.

License and permits this is a category that has been really affected by the economy and the reduction in building. This category includes building permits and zoning permits, and it also includes bartender licenses. This category has reduced by \$415,000, the largest decrease in any of the categories.

Public charges also went down \$110,000. This category includes rescue squad, billing franchise fees for Time Warner and AT&T, and Engineering Department charge outs for projects and to developers. And then the other category is called other taxes which includes our water utility tax. Our mobile home tax and hotel tax are the major taxes in this category, and this went up some over last year. The blue is last year's budget and the red up there is the 2010 proposed budget.

Now I'm going to go over each of the different four major categories and show you the major changes in the different items that are included. This is intergovernmental revenues. As I mentioned it starts out with income tax from State. This includes our shared revenue for the power plant and our shared payment. This changed and went down \$26,000. This is the reduction by the State on our shared revenue part. Road aid from the State went up \$104,000, almost \$105,000, and this is due, again, to increased expenditures in the three year period prior to. Fire insurance dues pretty much stayed level at \$72,000, \$71,000. Exempt computer aid, the value of computers in the Village has reduced, therefore reducing the aid that we are receiving by only a couple thousand dollars. Other includes law enforcement. Grants is the biggest category there that has caused this category to increase by almost \$14,000. In total intergovernmental revenues have increased almost \$91,000, a 3 percent increase over last year mainly attributed to the road grant from the State.

License and permits, this is a category that's been affected by the economy. And you can see licenses are liquor licenses, bartender licenses and dog licenses, and that has gone up slightly and basically across all those categories. Building permits is our biggest reduction from \$465,000. This year we were privileged and lucky to have Uline's building permits in the revenue categories, that's why this year, 2009, is so high. But for 2010 we don't have anything that large in the pipeline for commercial and industrial, and this is basically just some residential homes. We're hoping that we can go over that amount but this is a conservative estimate on what we're looking at for next year. So we have a large reduction there of \$338,000.

Zoning permits same thing, down due to the economy, \$69,000, almost \$70,000. Fire Department permits are down slightly. It's also building related. And then property record maintenance, again, this is building related, again, down \$10,000. There's one new program request to increase inspection fees and administrative fees in the building permits area, and that's a little over \$2,700. This category, though, is still down \$415,000, almost \$416,000 for a 60 percent decrease.

Public charges for services includes—it's down \$110,000 and it includes rescue fees. This reduction is partly due to our collection agency recommending that—actually medicare is reducing our reimbursement for rescue fees and that's part of it. The other part of it is an over budgeting for 2009. Engineering Department services are down. This is charging our own internal projects for engineering services as well as development. Development is way down. We're still kind of strong in terms of our internal projects, but because of the development being down outside the

Village then this reduces also. Street lighting is going up because we're adding a new street lighting district. The new district is west of I-94 so that increase is mainly attributed to that increase.

Fire Department earnings are staying level. This includes burn permits as well as fire billings for auto accidents. Administrative fee, again, is related to development and the economy and building and that's down \$20,000. IT Department services is down from last year. Actually the \$30,000 was probably over budgeted. The \$2,500 is down at more realistic levels of what we have been able to document as billable-type hours from IT. Franchise fee is Time Warner Cable and AT&T payment to us of \$240,000 for 2010 up a little bit from last year. At this time last year we were just starting to receive money and estimating what we would receive from Time Warner and AT&T, and now this year we're pretty much under way and have a pretty good track record of what we're receiving from that. Other includes police, highway and co-location charges down slightly.

There is a new program, engineering vehicle charge out. That I mentioned earlier. That's charging the engineering vehicle used to get to a building site or development site out to the project or to the developer. But overall this category has gone down \$110,000 a 10 percent decrease.

The last major category we have is other taxes. Other taxes include mobile home taxes which are down \$22,000. The main reason for this is that the 2009 budget was actually a little bit over estimated, and the actuals for 2008 in this category was \$147,000 and we budgeted for \$149,000 for 2010. It stayed pretty stable. Utility tax equivalent is up from the year before. The actual amount of assets—this is actually the water utility paying the general government a tax equivalent to what our property tax is, and the actual assets of the water utility were up compared to what we initially anticipated mainly due to our final development contributions to the water utility.

Hotel tax and motel tax is predicted to be down. We can see with the economy there's less hotel stays in our hotels and our taxes are down right now, so we predict it to be down for next year. And then the property tax penalty we left the same. Then other is pretty much level, too, compared to the previous year. So for total other taxes we're looking at an increase of \$115,000 mainly contributed to utility tax equivalent for the water utility.

This is the other four categories of revenue for the general government. The first one is intergovernmental charges, and this mainly is the assessing contracts with other communities in Kenosha County. And also in the Police Department we have a school liaison officer, so this category is up a little over \$8,000. The next categories is fines. This is Municipal Court fines, and then we have a new category, parking tickets. This category is still down \$20,000 from last year mainly due to collection efforts bringing in less money than we anticipated. Parking tickets are budgeted at about \$2,500 of this \$200,000 and some in this category.

The next category, miscellaneous, is down, too. This mainly includes interest and tower leases. Interest is down almost \$100,000 from the previous year mainly due to reduced interest rates received at the bank as well as at the local government investment pool. And then the last thing is transfer, and this is going from \$24,471 down to zero. What this is is transferring money from

the RecPlex to the general government for ballfield maintenance. The RecPlex is still paying for ballfield maintenance but it's being done in a different way. What's happening now instead of it being a transfer we're going to be using the work order system, and the work order system tracks every time they go out there, the hours, the equipment and stuff that they use to maintain the ballfields. So the RecPlex will be charged directly through the work order system instead of a transfer.

So that finishes the revenue side of the operating, and now I'm going to switch to the expense side of operating for general government. These are our five major categories of expenses for the general government, the largest being public safety. Public safety includes our Police Department, our Fire and Rescue Department, Inspection, public safety communication or our dispatchers, and then also our Roger Prange building maintenance. This category is up \$43,000, just slightly over last year.

Public Works includes our Engineering Department, our streets and street lighting and this is down slightly, \$61,000. Administration up \$145,000, and I'll explain that on the next slide. This is made up of a number of different departments, administration, IT, HR, Municipal Court, Finance, Assessing, Village Hall. So there are a number of departments that make up that category. CD is community development. That's down \$91,000. And the Parks Department is down slightly, pretty level from last year. The 2009 is the blue and the red is the 2010.

This is the public safety category. It includes our Police Department, Fire and Rescue, Inspection, public safety communications, the Roger Prange building, and the next three items are the new program requests and some program reductions. You can see on the far right hand column the percent change which is very minimal, 1 percent across almost all the departments except for public safety communication. That department is up slightly more than the others due to step increases within the staff there as well as health insurance impact was shown a lot greater in this area because of the small number.

So the one new program at the bottom is the physicals for the technicians in the Fire Department, and then a reduction for paramedic tuition that's being delayed. And then the personnel change is in our Inspection Department, there's a reduction in personnel in that area. So we have a total increase of only 1 percent in our public safety area for a total budget proposed of \$6.628 million.

Public Works is our next major category. This one is down 3 percent compared to last year for a proposed budget of \$1.8 million. The first one, engineering, is pretty level over last year, only a 5 percent increase mainly due to personnel-type changes in the benefit area. Public Works is actually down. The reason for that is Public Works went through a reorganization. Currently our Public Works Director is now over both utilities and streets and also clean water and solid waste altogether. In the past we had someone separate over sewer and water. So as part of the process of one director over all those areas we re-evaluated the clerical staff and has centralized the clerical staff and evaluated that area and determined the best way to split the clerical staff is by the number of hours worked by the operating staff, the people that are out on the street actually doing the work. And that has caused a reallocation which has benefitted the general government by almost a \$79,000 reduction in clericals charge to our public works area.

Also this year in 2009 we implemented the Fleet Internal Service Fund which has taken all of our Public Works vehicles and equipment from the street department, the solid waste, the garbage trucks and recycling trucks, also the utility department, and put them all into one area or one accounting area fund to keep track of them and also to accumulate funds to replace them. And as each of the different enterprise funds or general government uses these vehicles they get charged out an hourly rate for these vehicles. The Public Works Street Department actually initially owned a lot of these vehicles and equipment. And the enterprise funds sometimes we're using their vehicles for free basically. Now that they've been separated into a separate fund, the enterprise funds are charged for these vehicles when initially all the impact of those vehicles went to public works or general government.

So we saw a reduction in the actual cost charged to Public Works of over \$80,000. So those two reductions, the clerical staff allocation and the internal service fund have reduce the cost to general government in the Public Works area. To offset those decreases we also had an increase in salt of \$80,000 due to the amount of snowfall we had last year in January and February, December, January and February. So that's the reasoning behind the reduction in the Public Works street area. Street lighting the cost has gone up \$28,000 and that's due to the new district and the electric costs we're anticipating for that district. And the new program we talked about earlier, the public works intern. So overall we're looking at a \$1.8 million budget, a decrease of \$61,000 over last year or 3 percent.

This is Administration and the number of departments we have in Administration. So we look across here and overall we're looking at a \$2.3 million budget proposed for 2010, a 7 percent increase over last year. If you look down the line there's some bigger increases here percentagewise and dollar-wise. One of the reasons for the shift around in terms of the large increases is that we during this budget process re-looked at the support departments. We consider the support departments to be Administration, Human Resources, IT and Finance. Those four departments have in the past charged out the enterprise funds, RecPlex, sewer, water, solid waste and clean water.

Clean water and solid waste are relatively new enterprise funds, and IT and HR were added later than Finance and Administration, and as we were adding these departments and figuring out allocations, over time there's different allocation basis for these different departments. And so we decided to standardize the allocation. We are not charging out any more to these departments. We're just standardizing so each of the departments, the support department, HR, Finance, Administration and IT are charging out the same way. That has caused some departments to be charging out less and some departments to be charging out more for that department, but overall the general government is still charging out the same amount.

So Administration actually is charging out more, almost \$80,000 more. HR is charging out less, about \$23,000. It is charging out more, \$39,000. And Finance is charging out less, almost \$97,000. That's why you see some of the large increases on HR and Finance is because we're charging out less. So if we go down, Village Board has not changed much, 1 percent. Municipal Court has not changed much, 1 percent. Administration is down some because they're charging out more but it's offset by some legal expenses we're anticipating of \$50,000. HR is up due to

the clerical allocation. IT is down partly because of the allocation increase, charging out more so the cost to IT is less.

Finance is up due to the allocation decrease of \$97,000, plus also we re-evaluated auditing fees. In the past auditing fees used to be charged out to different departments, but as we added some departments some didn't get charged, not departments but enterprise funds, and so I pulled all the accounting fees as well as the financial software back into the Finance Department, and this allocation that's being charged out is how they're getting charged out now. That way they're all being charged out the same and none of the enterprise funds are being missed.

Assessing, \$13,000, a 2 percent increase. Village Hall almost \$4,000, a 5 percent increase. And then we have the new program, temporary GIS technician for \$22,000. And then the personnel reorganization is mainly the finance clerk taking the vacant position in the Municipal Courts. So we have a 7 percent increase overall in this area.

Next is Community Development, and this area is down \$91,000. Their base operations are pretty much up just slightly, 4 percent, but we had some personnel reductions and changes in this area of a little over \$100,000. Also, we had some elimination of expenses, engineering expense \$2,500 and land use consultants \$5,000. And then we also reduced the number of meetings for Plan Commission and Board of Appeals. So overall Community Development is down \$91,000 or 19 percent mainly due to the personnel reduction that we've made in this area.

And our last area is parks. And this area is down \$18,000. And the base budget is mainly the reason for the reduction of \$68,000. What we did here is we changed—the Parks Department mows all the different sites. They mow around the water towers, detention basins for clean water, they mow Village Hall, they mow the fire stations. Most of these areas were being charged but they were just being charged the labor for the person to go out there and mow the sites. We created an internal service fund, and one of the things we learned is we should be charging them also for the equipment and the vehicles that they're using also. Some of the areas like Village Hall and the fire stations weren't being charged at all for mowing. I think the detention basins were still in the Highway Department because that's where they were a couple years ago.

So we redid this, looked at this and got everything straightened out in terms of where we're mowing and how benefits from this mowing and what enterprise fund should be charged for this mowing. And so that's why there's a reduction in the Parks Department. With the work order system we're going to—before we used to transfer them out and we didn't transfer vehicles and equipment. Now we're going to be charging them directly and they're going to be charged for both the people that are mowing as well as the equipment and stuff. Then we have the Emerald Ash Borer spraying, new program request of \$50,000 for a net decrease in this area of \$18,000.

So this takes all the previous slides and puts it together as a summary for the operating portion of your budget to show you all the different pieces put into one piece. Look at the revenue, overall we have a 3 percent decrease, \$300,000 and some. The main decrease is due to the economy and the building permits being down and the effects on different areas in terms of the economy and the building permits. And then expenses, this summarizes all the different departments and then also the new programs and program reductions.

So looking down at the bottom line last year we had a budget net of almost \$350,000. That was mainly we budgeted more than a break even for 2009 because we ended the year with our reserves a little bit lower than we wanted them to be. So we budgeted up to get the reserves back to where we would like them to be. So that's why the budget for 2009 has positive \$350,000. And basically for 2009 we are pretty much tracking to gain \$350,000, even a little bit more for this point, so we're on budget for 2009.

For 2010 it's pretty much a break even. I have a little bit more, \$16,000 here, but we're able to retain our 15 percent reserve plus have a little bit extra cushion as we go into 2010 which we'll need because of the uncertainty still ahead for us for 2010 as well as we don't know what's happening in 2011. So this is a break even budget pretty much so, keep our 15 percent, as well as give us a cushion in the general operating area. So this is the general operating area. Are there any questions before we move onto capital and debt?

Our next category is capital. I'll start out with what departments have asked for in capital. I actually grouped this by area. The first area that I'm looking at is Fire and Rescue. This is the area that we have five different items on here, and the majority of these are recommended to be bonded for except for the automobile. The other four items we're looking at bonding for.

The pumper tanker fire engine is the largest for \$741,707. An ambulance, this is part two. We're buying the chassis in 2010 for 2009 and this is the rest of the box and the other equipment that is needed for the ambulance. And then replacing the grass truck and also replacing a rescue boat including the motor and trailer. And the last is replacing an automobile, actually replacing but also keeping the one that's there, but the older one would stay on site and be used for inspections instead of taking an ambulance or a fire truck on an inspection. This is the Fire Department's request that we are recommending to be purchased for 2010.

The next area is our Police Department, and we have five things on here also. First of all our annual vehicle fleet replacement. Every other year we replace marked squads, and every three to four years we replace unmarked squads, and this is the cars that are up for replacement for this year. The motorcycle is actually two I believe that we currently had. Harley actually, well, Uke's has let us use them, but now has said that we need to buy them in order to keep them and this is the cost to buy out the lease on the two motorcycles we have.

Then also replace portable radios for \$14,000 and also purchase total station software for \$5,000. That's software to reconstruct accident scenes or document accident scenes. And then also purchase tasers for \$16,000. Part of the funding for the tasers, \$10,000, is coming from a drug forfeiture that we had with Zion. So we're only spending \$6,000 here tax levy money for that purchase. So these are the five items that we're requesting to be funded for 2010 for police.

The next area is information technologies and we have a list here of eight things. The first thing is PC replacements. Every year we have a group of PCs that have reached their useful life and need to be replaced. Hansen replacement is our work order system and replacing that with City Works software. Hitech encryption, \$20,000; server replacements, \$18,000; Microsoft 2007 server implementation, \$12,000; SharePoint backup, \$7,000; firewall upgrade \$28,000; and then a

plotter for \$7,000. These are the list of IT requests and are recommended capital purchases for IT.

Then we also are recommending some road maintenance, some road maintenance in our industrial park for \$80,000; and then some more road maintenance on 116<sup>th</sup> Street from 39<sup>th</sup> Avenue to Old Green Bay Road for \$575,000; and then Mission Hills Subdivision, \$145,000, which is a portion that was actually requested for that subdivision.

And other department requests that are being recommended to be funded in the capital plan this 2010 is the rooftop HVAC here at Village Hall for \$18,000. That's being proposed but would only be done if the actual unit went or quit working. Voting equipment, this is replacing outdated voting equipment at our polling sites for \$43,800. And then Ingram Park development \$20,000 for a grading plan for Ingram Park. So those are the things that are being recommended.

Now we're switching to the things that are not being recommended to be funded. The first thing is Station 2 building maintenance. And then in the IT Department there's three different items, rewiring of Village Hall, Prange security upgrades which is cameras, public safety system improvements, which is improvements in the public safety area, police and fire area in the IT sense.

Parks has two projects we're not recommending which is picnic shelters and park benches and the Lake Andrea boat landing lighting. And then for roads there's \$90,000 of the Mission Hills Subdivision that we are not recommending. On a previous slide we recommended the \$145,000 to be funded so there's only a portion of that subdivision, a little bit over half, that can be reconditioned or re-maintained at this point in time.

This is a summary of these funds, funds comparison from 2009 to 2010. Revenue-wise we're looking at a reduction of almost 33 percent. The biggest reduction is due to reduction in grants. This year we're looking at grants for 85<sup>th</sup> Street for \$1.2 million, and that's a large grant amount that will not be duplicated in the next year. We're looking at a smaller grant amount from the Federal stimulus money of \$360,000 for Cooper Road.

And we're increasing the tax levy, the top line, by almost \$300,000. What we recommended and was approved by the Board a couple years ago is that any reduction in the old debt that's prior to 2007 would be then put into capital improvement and not rolled into the operating part. And the debt went down about \$300,000, and we increased the levy here \$300,000 instead of taking it into the operating section of our budget.

Impact fees are going down. We're collecting less impact fees. We had the Uline impact fee recorded this year. Next year we're being conservative in terms of what we're estimating. Again, interest is on the decline in terms of what we're receiving compared to this last year we predicted. And other revenue sources, sales of vehicles, miscellaneous type of funding sources, revenue sources, are up slightly, \$6,000.

This year we borrowed \$1.8 million mainly to do with 85<sup>th</sup> Street, and next year we're recommending to borrow \$1 million, and that's borrowing for the majority of the Fire

Department's request for capital, and also to borrow for the election equipment replacement. Capital outlay last year totaled \$4.4 million. We're looking at a reduction there down to \$2.6 million. And that \$2.6 is the list we went through on the different slides with the Fire Department, Police Department, IT, and that list totaled \$2.6 million.

We're looking at a slight gain when you compare revenue to expenditures of \$75,000. Down at the bottom you can see our fund balance, the money we have in that fund. The ending balance we're looking at is about \$1.6, but the majority of that, \$1.2 million, is impact fees that we have collected over the last three or four years. Unrestricted balance would end at about \$400,000, up from the year before which we're looking at ending the year 2009 at about \$44,000. So that's capital.

I'll now continue on with the debt service area which is our third component of our general government. Debt service for the general government is decreasing in terms of what we owe, in terms of our principal balance, that's why I have the blue arrow there heading downward. This is the actual graph showing our debt since 2004 in the general government. At the end of 2004 we had \$15.4 million in outstanding debt. At the end of 2010 we'll have \$7.3 million of outstanding debt.

This is a summary of our debt service fund comparing the 2009 budget to 2010 proposed. Revenue-wise we're pretty level at \$2.2 or \$2.3 million. Our main revenue source for this fund is tax levy which is down slightly by \$51,000. Special assessments pretty much level, up about \$6,000. And interest income, just like the other two funds down \$15,000. The principal and interest is pretty much level from \$2.3 million to \$2.2 million, \$2.3 million down \$60,251. This fund's ending balance proposed for 2009 or budgeted for 2009 was just short of \$400,000; 2010 up slightly to a little over \$400,000.

So the big question is how does this affect the tax levy. We are under a State levy limit. Last year our levy limit was \$8.5 million around, and this year our levy limit is \$8.8 million, an increase of \$356,368, and we are proposing to levy up to the levy limit. This is a breakdown of where our actual Village levy is allocated to. Our biggest chunk goes to operating, 62 percent or \$5.5 million goes to the operating section of our budget. 25 percent or \$2.2 million goes to debt to pay that service. And 13 percent or \$1.1 million has been allocated or proposed to be put to the capital fund.

This is our history of our mill rate since 2002, eight years. It's pretty much has a decline-type look to it. Now for this next year our proposed levy or mill rate is 3.395. Last year it was 3.38 so it's an increase of one and one-half cents over last year. What does this mean to a residential home? To a residential home with a median assessed value of \$242,600 a zero change from last year. We did not have a revaluation. The property tax for the Village portion would go up \$4 or just .45 percent. So this is my presentation of the general government budget including operating, debt and capital.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

I might add that in order to get this budget to balance and do it within the State limits there was a significant amount of personnel cuts that were made. And the budget reflects a general freeze on employee salaries for 2010. It's obvious to anybody that has been paying attention that the economy is tough. Some people aren't working. We haven't really had a hard time at all convincing employees that a freeze is something that we need to look at, but it's something we're doing. And we're going to recommend that that stay in place this year. I know Kenosha County indicated with their unions that they were going to give them their annual raise in December and then build that into the succeeding 2011 budget. And my recommendation is we don't do that and we wait and see what happens with the economy at the end of next year and then adjust accordingly.

This budget is going to enable us to do everything that we need to get done currently that we do. And there are going to be some areas that are going to change. Building inspection is not going to have probably as full day as open hours because we'll have less staff there. So that counter will be closed part of the day. The staff will be out in the field doing inspections and somebody might stay back to catch that. I think our essential services, police, fire, public works with the reallocations we've done and refining what it is we have to do, we're going to still provide the same amount of services. The real challenge in this budget going forward, though, is it does take away some of our ability to be creative in how we get things done. I think our department heads and management staff has shown a rare quality to take and find innovative ways to get things done with maybe a bigger initial investment, but we're really not going to have that room to do it.

The other thing that we really strived in this project, and it's been at the cost of personnel, but I think it's something that we need to stay on top of is to maintain our capital programs, those things that we need to buy that enable us to do the work we have to do, whether it's equipment, getting ahead of road deterioration, those things that are funded by the general fund that we need to stay on top of, otherwise we're just going to be—if we don't fund them now we're going to be buying problems in the future. I could have recommended a budget that funded raises and salaries for everybody at the bargain rates, but we would have done it at the cost of paving, we would have done it at the cost of equipment that we needed to come up with sooner.

One of the points that Trustee Serpe made at the working session was is maybe if people could help us out a little bit and make our job a little bit easier. We're going to get done the things that need to get done, and we're going to continue to provide excellent service. I think our departments do an excellent job on that. If I could narrow one area out that the public could help us that would be just be better neighbors with their neighbors. I and a lot of the staff spend an inordinate amount of time dealing with neighbors fighting with each other, arguing with each other. It's amazing when you watch at how much staff time just evolves in the neighborhood dispute because people can't get along. It's not long that you have myself out there, the Planning Department, the Police Department, Inspection, and those are efforts and dollars we spend that buy some peace between some people until the next thing flares up. But it's an incredible expense, and it's not just in Pleasant Prairie. It's with every government.

I know there's a certain level of anxiety that the public has right now about the economy and their own lives and their jobs and their homes and things like that, but those are the dollars that we spend that don't buy us more streets to be paved. It doesn't help us buy equipment. It doesn't get us another patrolman to help in certain areas. All it does is it buys us time to try and minimize or reduce a fight between people that aren't being reasonable. That's really the thing that people say, look, what can I do to make my taxes less, find a good way to be a good neighbor with your neighbors and work on getting problems in your community solved.

We're more than willing to work with people that want to solve problems and find ways to get things done rather than fighting with their neighbors about the sun's too bright, the lights are too bright, the dark is too dark and the night won't end. My dog barks, your dog barks, your dog barks louder than my dog. We can tell you a whole list of stuff that it just goes on and on and on. Whether it's Chief Wagner or anybody else we end up being the referee for nonsense. Those are things that we'd rather spend our time and resources getting some other things done. I tell people when they ask me what do you want us to do. My comment is I just want you to be a good neighbor to your neighbors. That does more to help the Village out than anybody can imagine.

I want to thank the department heads for putting together a tough budget. We went into this with our eyes wide open and we slapped them shut a couple times ourselves and looked at again, and we came up with a budget I think that's going to be workable. And hopefully I think we're at the bottom of the well right now for what we need to do. I think things are starting to pick up. But when the economy starts growing, it typically takes a year and a half to two years before we see the results of that growth. So we just need to be able to hunker down in this time period and do it without doing gimmicks. None of this have the Sheriff be your Police Department or consolidate this or consolidate that. I've seen some communities that are reaching out for these things and they don't save you any money. I think at the end of the day our goal has got to be to provide the same level of quality service and do it for the least possible and not do any mirrors or anything like that with how much it costs to deliver service.

I think that it's nice to see a budget that's only going to impact the average household \$4, but that comes at a cost, and we really can't sustain that for a long time without doing some things for ourselves to grow this economy. Because I think that's where everybody's salvation is, whether you're looking for a job or hoping your neighbor finds a job or hoping that our tax base expands that we find ways to make our local economy grow. So with that, Mr. President, if there's any questions that the Board has I'd be happy to respond to them.

#### Mike Serpe:

Since we became a Village we've grown immensely. We've enjoyed a very aggressive economic growth in this Village, and it came with the addition of a lot of personnel to accomplish the tasks and provide the services that we're providing. I think Rocco Vita hit it right on the head last Thursday night, what's unique about Pleasant Prairie is the department heads and the employees. When one might be experiencing a little problem everybody else jumps in and helps out. I don't know of too many municipalities where that really takes place, but it certainly takes place here because it's a team effort.

The Board can take all the credit in the world for everything that happens good, and I don't believe in doing that, I think the credit goes to the Administrator and his staff, the department heads and to all of our employees. They're the ones that run this Village. And sometimes we get involved with disputes with the citizens over projects that we feel are necessary, but I think at the end of the day they're going to prove out to be the right thing to do.

A while back we had an effort in the Village to district the Village with representation. That would have added two more people to this Board. The last thing this Village needs or any government needs is more government. One of the worst things that I've experienced, at least I've witnessed since the downturn in the economy, and it's heartbreaking, is when the Administrator has to lay off a person. That just tears me up. I suppose the irresponsible thing to be done would be just leave everybody alone and we'll just raise the levy a little bit and raise the mill rate and we'll cut other projects. It's not the right way to do it.

Mike has anticipated the downturn in the economy. When he saw it coming he started taking measures and put measures in place to protect the Village. It's evident with this budget tonight. It's not easy. There isn't a department head here that wouldn't love to have more people in place, but they make due with what they have. They get the credit. Thank you.

#### John Steinbrink:

You hit the nail on the head, Mike. The one thing we always hear is why can't government operate like business. We know that's apples and oranges for what we're asked to do and provide. But I think this last year or two you found the efficiencies and streamlined these budgets to a point I never thought they could reach, and yet while maintaining the service that the private sector cannot provide. We're asked to do things if the private sector did those it would be cost prohibitive. That says a lot for the people that work for us and get up in the middle of the night and provide those services and make sure things happen, the roads plowed, we're safe, the fire truck is there, an ambulance is there. If that was to be done in the private sector I don't think the level of service would be there and I think the cost would be higher. You can't say enough about the folks that work for us and provide the services for the citizens of this community. Other comment or questions?

#### Mike Serpe:

What's the next step, Mike? We don't adopt this at all, do we?

#### Mike Pollocoff:

No. We would go to hearing on November 23<sup>rd</sup>. That's what we do next.

#### Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I'll make a motion-

Mike Serpe:

I don't think we need a motion.

Mike Pollocoff:

No.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Okay.

John Steinbrink:

Then I thank you for the presentation. The workshop was great.

Mike Pollocoff:

Kathy did a really good job on the budget.

John Steinbrink:

So when you see people with not many questions here it's because we've been well informed.

# Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Mr. President, we had a chance to talk . . . in our community last month, and we're very lucky with what we've got here in Pleasant Prairie. . . . the Police Department is unbelievable compared with a lot of communities. Everybody works together as a team. I believe we have the best team in the State as a government unit. That speaks for itself how much . . . having put in this budget and how much they realize the position we were . . . . You look around at some places they still complain that they need a pay raise or . . . or whatever. Here everything works out very well with no problems. That's commendable to Mike, to Kathy and the department heads and the employees in the Village. They deserve the credit for this budget. I'm very proud to be here.

B. Receive Plan Commission Recommendation and consider Ordinance #09-56 to amend Sections 420-76 T (5) and (6) of the Village Zoning Ordinance related to the maximum area and maximum height of primary monument signs.

#### Tom Shircel:

Thank you, Mr. President. As some important background information to this Ordinance 09-56, back in 2008 the Village Board adopted Ordinance 08-18 which changed the height and area parameters for primary monument signs in the Village from 160 square feet in area and 16 feet in height to the now current maximum area of 130 square feet per face and 10 feet in height. Like

many zoning text amendments, one of the results of that ordinance was that now many signs in the Village are now nonconforming in that they met those parameters of 160 square feet and 16 feet in height at the time they were installed. But now due to that 2008 amendment they no longer meet those parameters making them nonconforming.

Furthermore, there is a section of the zoning ordinance that applies to nonconforming signs, and what that ordinance says is at such time as the cumulative cost of modifications to a nonconforming sign exceed 50 percent of the replacement cost that sign is illegal. So as an example if there was a sign that put up that cost \$1,000 at that time, if you take into account that zoning ordinance 50 percent rule all the owner could put back into that sign would be \$500 and could not exceed that.

But with the ever changing technology of the sign industry, the Village staff is seeing an increase in requests for LED signs which are those vivid electronic color computer controlled display signs, and those signs are very expensive. If a business owner wishes to modify an existing nonconforming sign due to its cost, that LED sign especially on most occasions, will exceed that 50 percent replacement cost putting the sign owner in an economic problem.

Since the majority of the commercial developments in the Village are located along arterial State trunk highways with higher speed limits and higher traffic volumes, the Village staff and Plan Commission is recommending that the maximum area and height parameters for primary monument signs along State trunk highways return to that 160 square feet in area per face and 16 feet in height respectively. So what that would do then would allow an owner of that sign to make modifications such as an LED panel without having to worry about the sign being nonconforming anymore and exceeding that 50 percent rule.

There is a Table 1 in your agenda, and there are several signs in there that are relatively new existing signs that are nonconforming due to that 2008 ordinance change. If Kathy would just flip through the slides. For instance, Culver's, Boucher Ford, Jelly Belly, Prairie Ridge Commons, St. Catherine's Hospital, PDQ, BP Am-Pm, Citgo at I-94, First Banking Center and Johnson Bank. So all those signs which are relatively new are nonconforming, again, due to that 2008 ordinance amendment either because area and height or one or the other.

So with that, this ordinance 09-56 is proposing to amend Section 420-76 T (5) and (6) to read as follows: "If the property has frontage on a State Trunk Highway, the maximum area is 160 square feet per face." And Section (6)(c) would be: "If the property has frontage on a State Trunk Highway, the maximum height is 16 feet" in height. The Plan Commission did hold a public hearing last Monday, October 26<sup>th</sup>, and recommends a favorable recommendation to the Village Board to adopt 09-56 as presented. With that I'll turn it back to the Village Board.

# Mike Serpe:

Tom, with the popularity of LEDs now, do we have anything in the ordinance that would control the brightness of the sign if it is a—

#### Tom Shircel:

There's always a light or brightness, there is something in the ordinance that says it can't glare or cause a distraction to passing motorists. And also there is a portion of the ordinance that deals with flashing or pulsating, and I believe it can't flash or pulsate, it can't change more than every three seconds.

# Mike Serpe:

Okay, thanks. And the reason I bring that up is I'm sure we've all noticed now the new light bars on the police vehicles are LEDs and you can't look at them. That's fine for a police car, but I don't know that I'd want to see it on a monument sign next to JC Penney or something like that. Okay.

#### John Steinbrink:

Other comment or question?

#### Clyde Allen:

Just I guess for knowledge sake why do we have in the ordinance that they can only have 50 percent replacement cost, prohibiting somebody from wanting to put a gold sign or something out front?

# Tom Shircel:

Well, I think with any municipal ordinance, be it Pleasant Prairie or be it wherever, the idea is to get rid of nonconformities over time. So that's why that 50 percent rule is there. So if someone wants to make modifications to a sign that the cumulative cost of those cannot exceed 50 percent, and the idea is to get rid of those nonconformities over time to get all the signs in conformance with the zoning ordinance.

#### Mike Pollocoff:

That's really established, too, in case law. That ended up being a standard that courts followed where as a matter of public policy, like Tom said, a municipality is trying to get rid of a nonconforming use or whatever it is and so the standard of 50 percent was applied by the court to say, okay, a municipality must be given a reasonable opportunity and tools to eliminate the nonconforming standard so that threshold was accepted. I think that's even had some federal case law support, too, if I'm not mistaken.

# Clyde Allen:

Thank you.

Mike Serpe:

I move approval of 09-56.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Further comment or question?

SERPE MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #09-56 TO AMEND SECTIONS 420-76 T (5) AND (6) OF THE VILLAGE ZONING ORDINANCE RELATED TO THE MAXIMUM AREA AND MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF PRIMARY MONUMENT SIGNS; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

C. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider the request of Ajay Kuttemperoor of VK Development for a Certified Survey Map to subdivide the 11.37 acre property located at the southwest corner of 75th Street and 104th Avenue intersection into two parcels.

#### Tom Shircel:

Thank you, Mr. President. Again, the property owner, VK Development, is wishing to subdivide the 11 acre property at the southeast corner of 75<sup>th</sup> Street and 104<sup>th</sup> Avenue, you can see on the slide, into two lots, Lot 1 and Lot 2. Lot 1 is proposed to be just over nine acres, and that's directly at the southeast corner of Highway and 104<sup>th</sup> Avenue. Lot 1 exceeds the minimum lot size and frontage requirements for the B-2 District. Also on Lot 1 there are some wetlands on the western side along 104<sup>th</sup> Avenue on that parcel. And Lot 2 also is vacant and that's proposed to be just over two acres in size. That, again, does meet the minimum lot size and frontage requirements for the B-2 District. And Lot 2 is proposed to accommodate an Olive Garden Restaurant which the Plan Commission approved site and operational plans for last Monday on October 26<sup>th</sup>.

One of the main issues for this CSM is the 76<sup>th</sup>, 77<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> Avenue improvements. The Village did send a letter to VK Development on October 9<sup>th</sup> noticing them of insufficient funds to finish the second and third year improvements for 76<sup>th</sup>, 77<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> Avenues. Basically there's a development agreement signed October 8, 2001 which addressed those issues, those public improvements that need to be addressed. And the required public improvements in accordance with the provisions of that agreement there's an obligation for VK Development to pay those fees. The Village engineering department did put together an estimate of those costs of what VK Development needs to pay to fix the 76<sup>th</sup>, 77<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> Avenues and that came out to \$227,776.

So as part of the two important conditions of the approval of this CSM I'll read those to you. The Village will not release this CSM for recording until VK Development provides to the Village the following:

- 1. The funds, again, estimated at \$227,776 in order to ensure the completion of the second and third phases of the required public improvements for the 76<sup>th</sup>, 77<sup>th</sup> Streets and 99<sup>th</sup> Avenue improvements.
- 2. An agreement prepared by VK Development shall be entered into by VK Development and the Village ensuring the provision to the Village of the aforementioned funds and to ensure the timely completion of these improvements.

Like I said, the Plan Commission did consider this at last Monday's meeting and forwarded this to the Board for their review. And last Monday night Ajay Kuttemperoor of VK Development was here and he does understand the parameters of those conditions, but he is not with us this evening. With that, I'll turn it back to the Board.

#### John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Tom. Steve?

#### Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I've got a question. Did he say that he was going to pay us in 90 days? Did he make a limit in the meeting?

#### Mike Pollocoff:

He didn't say.

#### Tom Shircel:

The thing he did amend, we did amend, the condition was that it was going to be to record that CSM within 30 days, but the Plan Commission recommended to extend that to six months. I think that was to allow—

#### Steve Kumorkiewicz:

That's what I was thinking, yeah.

#### Tom Shircel:

That was to allow him to close with Olive Garden. I think they're hoping that the closing on the property on Lot 2 with Olive Garden will help pay that \$227,000 they owe for the street improvements. So I think he wants to buy some time to close with Olive Garden to get those funds.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Otherwise the Olive Garden can't go unless he pays?

Tom Shircel:

Basically you're correct.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Okay, I wanted to make sure of that. Thank you.

Clyde Allen:

I make a motion to approve with the recommendations by Planning Commission and Village staff.

Mike Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Further discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND APPROVE THE REQUEST OF AJAY KUTTEMPEROOR OF VK DEVELOPMENT FOR A CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP TO SUBDIVIDE THE 11.37 ACRE PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF 75TH STREET AND 104TH AVENUE INTERSECTION INTO TWO PARCEL, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS SET FORTH BY STAFF; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

D. Consider granting a Permanent Easement and a Temporary Limited Easement on property located at STH 50 and 120th Avenue to the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for the STH 50 and I-94 Interchange project.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, Single Source, a consultant contract to DOT, has provided the Village with a full narrative appraisal to acquire a temporary and a permanent easement along the frontage road of I-94 and the frontage road of Highway 50 for work that's going to be involved with the Highway 50 interchange. We've reviewed these documents, and as a temporary and permanent easement I concur with their recommendations on the findings with what they were proposing for payments of \$1,300. You can see here, they're not very big in area, but I'd recommend that the Board adopt or accept the proposal and execute the temporary easement with the State.

Village Board Meeting November 2, 2009 Steve Kumorkiewicz: I move to approve. Clyde Allen: Second. John Steinbrink: Motion by Steve, second by Clyde. Further discussion? Did anything ever come of those DOT ones that they didn't do anything with that could be reverted back to the Village or property owner? Mike Pollocoff: No. They're still holding onto developable land. They've kind of missed the wave as the value went up and now they're back down. John Steinbrink: Not that we didn't try. Mike Pollocoff: No, I know it. We can't leave them to the bank. John Steinbrink: Hearing no further comment or question, those in favor?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO GRANT A PERMANENT EASEMENT AND A TEMPORARY LIMITED EASEMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT STH 50 AND 120TH AVENUE TO THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE STH 50 AND I-94 INTERCHANGE PROJECT; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

E. Consider Resolution #09-35 declaring the Village of Pleasant Prairie's intention to apply for recertification of the League of Wisconsin Municipalities' Wisconsin Award of Municipal Excellence.

John Steinbrink:

Is that like the one behind me?

#### Mike Pollocoff:

That's like the one behind you only we want one that has gold leaves. This resolution authorizes the Village to begin the process to be evaluated again. At this point we'd be looking to be certified in all the areas identified by the League as far as being a candidate for a Wisconsin award. This would be done—it would start next year, and if we get it, which I don't see a reason why we wouldn't, it would be awarded at the next League conference which is about a year from now. And it places me as the authorized representative.

#### John Steinbrink:

You're the authorized coordinator. So it all falls upon your shoulders to make sure we're guided in the right direction.

# Mike Serpe:

If they can name Brett Favre three time MVP we should be able to win that thing a couple of times, too.

# Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I make a motion to adopt Resolution 09-35.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Monica. Any further discussion on this item?

#### Steve Kumorkiewicz:

They were very impressed when they came here in 2004 or 2005. And I think we have more reason to be proud with this. I think we have an excellent chance to get it. That's my opinion.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #09-35 DECLARING THE VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE'S INTENTION TO APPLY FOR RECERTIFICATION OF THE LEAGUE OF WISCONSIN MUNICIPALITIES' WISCONSIN AWARD OF MUNICIPAL EXCELLENCE; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

# G. Consent Agenda

- 1) Approve new agent for Chancery Pub and Restaurant liquor license.
- 2) Approve a Letter of Credit Reduction for the Westfield development.
- 3) Approve a Letter of Credit for the Tobin Woods development.

# ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1-3; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

#### 8. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

#### Monica Yuhas:

I would like to thank Chief Wagner for accommodating my request for a ride along. I was able to do one on Halloween with second and third shift and it was quite busy, so it was very interesting to see how the officers handle different events as they're transpiring. So, Chief, thank you for letting me attend the ride along. I enjoyed it very much. Sergeant Biernat was great answering questions. The time flew. I stayed the whole time. It was a good time so thank you.

# Clyde Allen:

In about four hours our Administrator turns another year old so I want to be the first to say happy birthday.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Happy birthday, Mike.

#### 9. ADJOURNMENT

SERPE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8 P.M.